
L.1	Chapter 1 Solutions	L-2
L.2	Chapter 2 Solutions	L-7
L.3	Chapter 3 Solutions	L-20
L.4	Chapter 4 Solutions	L-30
L.5	Chapter 5 Solutions	L-46
L.6	Chapter 6 Solutions	L-52



L

Solutions to Case Study Exercises

L.1

Chapter 1 Solutions

Case Study 1: Chip Fabrication Cost

- 1.1 a. $\text{Yield} = \left(1 + \frac{0.7 \times 1.99}{4.0}\right)^{-4} = 0.28$
- b. $\text{Yield} = \left(1 + \frac{0.75 \times 3.80}{4.0}\right)^{-4} = 0.12$
- c. The Sun Niagara is substantially larger, since it places 8 cores on a chip rather than 1.
- 1.2 a. $\text{Yield} = \left(1 + \frac{0.30 \times 3.89}{4.0}\right)^{-4} = 0.36$
- $$\text{Dies per wafer} = \frac{\pi \times (30/2)^2}{3.89} - \frac{\pi \times 30}{\sqrt{2 \times 3.89}} = 182 - 33.8 = 148$$
- $$\text{Cost per die} = \frac{\$500}{148 \times 0.36} = \$9.38$$
- b. $\text{Yield} = \left(1 + \frac{.7 \times 1.86}{4.0}\right)^{-4} = 0.32$
- $$\text{Dies per wafer} = \frac{\pi \times (30/2)^2}{1.86} - \frac{\pi \times 30}{\sqrt{2 \times 1.86}} = 380 - 48.9 = 331$$
- $$\text{Cost per die} = \frac{\$500}{331 \times .32} = \$4.72$$
- c. $\$9.38 \times .4 = \3.75
- d. $\text{Selling price} = (\$9.38 + \$3.75) \times 2 = \26.26
 $\text{Profit} = \$26.26 - \$4.72 = \$21.54$
- e. $\text{Rate of sale} = 3 \times 500,000 = 1,500,000/\text{month}$
 $\text{Profit} = 1,500,000 \times \$21.54 = \$32,310,000$
 $\$1,000,000,000 / \$32,310,000 = 31 \text{ months}$
- 1.3 a. $\text{Yield} = \left(1 + \frac{.75 \times 3.80/8}{4.0}\right)^{-4} = 0.71$
- $$\text{Prob of error} = 1 - 0.71 = 0.29$$
- b. $\text{Prob of one defect} = 0.29 \times 0.71^7 \times 8 = 0.21$
 $\text{Prob of two defects} = 0.29^2 \times 0.71^6 \times 28 = 0.30$
 $\text{Prob of one or two} = 0.21 \times 0.30 = 0.51$
- c. $0.71^8 = .06$ (now we see why this method is inaccurate!)

- d. $0.51/0.06 = 8.5$
- e. $x \times \$150 + 8.5x \times \$100 - (9.5x \times \$80) - 9.5x \times \$1.50 = \$200,000,000$
 $x = 885,938$ 8-core chips, 8,416,390 chips total

Case Study 2: Power Consumption in Computer Systems

- 1.4 a. $.70x = 79 + 2 \times 3.7 + 2 \times 7.9x = 146$
- b. $4.0 \text{ W} \times .4 + 7.9 \text{ W} \times .6 = 6.34 \text{ W}$
- c. The 7200 rpm drive takes 60 s to read/seek and 40 s idle for a particular job. The 5400 rpm disk requires $4/3 \times 60$ s, or 80 s to do the same thing. Therefore, it is idle 20% of the time.
- 1.5 a. $\frac{14 \text{ KW}}{(79 \text{ W} + 2.3 \text{ W} + 7.0 \text{ W})} = 158$
- b. $\frac{14 \text{ KW}}{(79 \text{ W} + 2.3 \text{ W} + 2 \times 7.0 \text{ W})} = 146$
- c. $\text{MTTF} = \frac{1}{9 \times 10^6} + 8 \times \frac{1}{4500} + \frac{1}{3 \times 10^4} = \frac{8 \times 2000 + 300}{9 \times 10^6} = \frac{16301}{9 \times 10^6}$
- $\frac{1}{\text{Failure rate}} = \frac{9 \times 10^6}{16301} = 522 \text{ hours}$
- 1.6 a. See Figure L.1.

	Sun Fire T2000	IBM x346
SPECjbb	213	91.2
SPECweb	42.4	9.93

Figure L.1 Power/performance ratios.

- b. Sun Fire T2000
- c. More expensive servers can be more compact, allowing more computers to be stored in the same amount of space. Because real estate is so expensive, this is a huge concern. Also, power may not be the same for both systems. It can cost more to purchase a chip that is optimized for lower power consumption.
- 1.7 a. 50%
- b. $\frac{\text{Power new}}{\text{Power old}} = \frac{(V \times 0.50)^2 \times (F \times 0.50)}{V^2 \times F} = 0.5^3 = 0.125$
- c. $= \frac{.70}{(1-x) + x/2}; x = 60\%$

$$d. \frac{\text{Power new}}{\text{Power old}} = \frac{(V \times 0.70)^2 \times (F \times 0.50)}{V^2 \times F} = 0.7^2 \times 0.5 = 0.245$$

Case Study 3: The Cost of Reliability (and Failure) in Web Servers

- 1.8 a. 14 days $\times$ \$1.4 million/day = \$19.6 million
 \$4 billion – \$19.6 million = \$3.98 billion
 b. Increase in total revenue: $4.8/3.9 = 1.23$
 In the fourth quarter, the rough estimate would be a loss of $1.23 \times \$19.6$ million = \$24.1 million.
 c. Losing \$1.4 million $\times$.50 = \$700,000 per day. This pays for \$700,000/\$7,500 = 93 computers per day.
 d. It depends on how the 2.6 million visitors are counted.
 If the 2.6 million visitors are not unique, but are actually visitors each day summed across a month: 2.6 million $\times$ 8.4 = 21.84 million transactions per month. $\$5.38 \times 21.84$ million = \$117 million per month.
 If the 2.6 million visitors are assumed to visit every day: 2.6 million $\times$ 8.4 $\times$ 31 = 677 million transactions per month. $\$5.38 \times 677$ million = \$3.6 billion per month, which is clearly not the case, or else their online service would not make money.
- 1.9 a. $\text{FIT} = 10^9/\text{MTTF}$
 $\text{MTTF} = 10^9/\text{FIT} = 10^9/100 = 10,000,000$
 b. $\text{Availability} = \frac{\text{MTTF}}{\text{MTTF} + \text{MTTR}} = \frac{10^7}{10^7 + 24} = \text{about } 100\%$
- 1.10 Using the simplifying assumption that all failures are independent, we sum the probability of failure rate of all of the computers:
- $$\text{Failure rate} = 1000 \times 10^{-7} = 10^{-4} = \frac{10^5}{10^9} \quad \text{FIT} = 10^5, \text{ therefore } \text{MTTF} = \frac{10^9}{10^5} = 10^4$$
- 1.11 a. Assuming that we do not repair the computers, we wait for how long it takes for 3,334 computers to fail.
 $3,334 \times 10,000,000 = 33,340,000,000$ hours
 b. Total cost of the decision: $\$1,000 \times 10,000$ computers = \$10 million
 Expected benefit of the decision: Gain a day of downtime for every 33,340,000,000 hours of uptime. This would save us \$1.4 million each 3,858,000 years. This would definitely not be worth it.