https://selldocx.com/products/solution-manual-canadian-organizational-behaviour-11e-mcshane

Chapter 2

So Many Costs, So Many Terms

Assignment Classification Table (By Learning Objective)

| | | Brief | _ | |
|--|-------------------|-------------------|-------------------|------------------------|
| Learning Objectives | Questions | Exercises | Exercises | Problems |
| Review financial statement terms to interpret a company's results. | 1, 2, 3, 4 | 1, 2, 3 | 1, 2, 3, 4 | 1, 2, 3, 4 |
| Examine financial statements to differentiate between service providers, merchandisers, and manufacturers. | 5, 6 | 4, 5 | 3, 4, 5 | 1, 2, 5 |
| Interpret commonly used cost terms used in decision-making. | 7, 8, 9 | 5, 6 | 6, 7 | 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7 |
| Describe the basics of cost behavior within the relevant range. | 10 | 7 | 5, 8 | 3, 8, 9 |
| Trace the flow of costs from the balance sheet to the income statement. | 11 | 8, 9 | 9, 10, 11 | 4, 6, 7, 10 |
| Contrast gross margin with contribution margin. | 12, 13, 14, 15 | 10, 11, 12, 13 | 12, 13, 14, 15 | 2, 3, 4, 5, 7, 8, 9 |

| Assignment Characteristics Table | |
|----------------------------------|--|
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |

| ltem | Description | Level of Difficulty | Time (minutes) |
|-------|--|------------------------|-------------------|
| E2.1 | Determine appropriate classifications of costs and expenses. | Moderate | 10–15 |
| E2.2 | Determine the opportunity cost associated with selecting an option. | Moderate | 10–15 |
| E2.3 | Interpret various accounts within an existing set of financial statements for a service entity. | Simple | 5–10 |
| E2.4 | Determine the profitability and sunk cost for a product that needs to be reworked. | Moderate | 10–15 |
| E2.5 | Determine profitability of a service organization given variable and fixed costs. | Simple | 5–10 |
| E2.6 | Classify costs as product or period and determine total manufacturing costs. | Simple | 5–10 |
| E2.7 | Determine missing cost and expense components for a manufacturing company. | Simple | 10–15 |
| E2.8 | Manipulate variable and fixed cost information to address profitability questions. | Moderate | 15–20 |
| E2.9 | Determine product cost components and ending inventory balances. | Moderate | 10–15 |
| E2.10 | Prepare schedules of COGM and COGS plus additional considerations. | Moderate | 10–15 |
| E2.11 | Follow the flow of costs through inventory accounts to determine COGS. | Moderate | 10–15 |
| E2.12 | Prepare income statements for one year using the contribution margin and traditional formats. | Simple | 5–10 |
| E2.13 | Recast a traditional income statement into a contribution margin income statement format. | Moderate | 15–20 |
| E2.14 | Recast a contribution margin income statement into a traditional income statement format. | Moderate | 10–15 |
| E2.15 | Distinguish product costs from period costs and determine full costs plus additional considerations. | Moderate | 5–10 |
| P2.1 | Classify costs for a merchandiser and complete the income statement. | Moderate | 10–15 |
| P2.2 | Determine full costs from a merchandiser's income statement plus additional considerations. | Moderate | 10–15 |
| P2.3 | Classify costs as product or period, variable or fixed, and prepare income statements. | Moderate | 15–20 |
| P2.4 | Determine product costs to calculate COGM and COGS plus additional considerations. | Moderate | 20–25 |
| P2.5 | Evaluate the profitability of a company's product and service lines, and its overall profitability. | Moderate | 10–15 |
| P2.6 | Trace the flow of product costs through the inventory accounts plus additional considerations. | Moderate | 15–20 |
| P2.7 | Use select financial statement information to solve for missing components. | Complex | 20–25 |
| P2.8 | Interpret profitability as well as fixed and variable cost information from a graph. | Moderate | 15–20 |
| P2.9 | Create corrected income statements using both a traditional and contribution margin format. | Complex | 20–25 |
| P2.10 | Fill in missing T-account detail given beginning and ending balances plus additional information. | Complex | 20–25 |
| Case | Compare the benefits of the traditional and contribution margin income statement formats. | Moderate | 10–15 |

Answers to Questions

1. The foregone benefits from the options not chosen are opportunity costs—the net benefits minus costs given up in order to go with the option you choose. For example, if you choose audit, you forego the benefits of being in the office a lot, as many tax professionals appreciate the consistency of their office environment. If you choose tax, on the other hand, you forego the benefits of working at the client's location, as many audit professionals enjoy being in a variety of settings while conducting their work.

LO: 1, Bloom: C, Difficulty: Simple, Time: 3-5, AACSB: Knowledge, Communication, Reflective Thinking, AICPA BC: N/A, AICPA AC: Reporting, AICPA PC: Communication. IMA: N/A

2. The amount your friend originally paid for his car is a sunk cost—a cost that was incurred in the past that he is now stuck with. If he recovered some of the cost by selling it (potentially for less than he paid for it) that would represent a new relevant cost, but the original cost of the car is still sunk.

If his car broke down, he shouldn't automatically fix it. It would depend on a few factors.

- If he fixes it, how long will the repair last?
- Would he be better off getting rid of this car now and getting something more reliable?

The subsequent decision should not hinge on how much was originally paid for the car. That cost is a sunk cost, and while it can cloud his perspective, it should not affect his decision-making going forward.

LO: 1, Bloom: K, Difficulty: Simple, Time: 3-5, AACSB: Knowledge, Communication, AICPA BC: N/A, AICPA AC: Reporting, AICPA PC: Communication, IMA: N/A

3. Yes. All of COGS is an expense because it represents inventory items that have been sold. In other words, there is no future benefit anymore. The customer now has title to these goods, so the expense is matched against the revenue of this period (i.e., accrual accounting).

LO: 1, Bloom: C, Difficulty: Simple, Time: 3-5, AACSB: Knowledge, Communication, AICPA BC: N/A, AICPA AC: Measurement Analysis and Interpretation, Reporting, AICPA PC: Communication, IMA: Reporting & Control: Cost Accounting

4. Salaries and wages expense and advertising expense are both cash expenditures. Depreciation and amortization expenses are not cash expenditures.

Even though depreciation and amortization expenses are non-cash expenditures, they are still properly considered expenses on the income statement because they are "costs" of the current period. They each reflect a portion of an asset's initial cost that was used up, and therefore recognized as an expense this period. That portion of the computers and software cost helped to generate revenue this period—hence the matching principle in financial accounting.

LO: 1, Bloom: C, Difficulty: Simple, Time: 3-5, AACSB: Knowledge, Communication, AICPA BC: N/A, AICPA AC: Measurement Analysis and Interpretation, Reporting, AICPA PC: Communication, IMA: Reporting & Control: Cost Accounting

5.

| Service | Some service providers will have no inventory on their balance sheets; other |
|--------------|---|
| Provider | service providers, like Dish Network will have component Raw Material (RM) |
| | Inventory (for items such as wiring, satellite receivers, remote controls, etc.). |
| Merchandiser | They would only have "Merchandise Inventory." Ace Hardware specifically, though, would have many specific types of merchandise inventory (paint, lawn equipment, tools, etc.). |
| Manufacturer | Raw Materials (RM) Inventory, Work in Process (WIP) Inventory, and Finished |
| | Goods (FG) Inventory |

LO: 2, Bloom: K, Difficulty: Simple, Time: 3-5, AACSB: Knowledge, AICPA BC: N/A, AICPA AC: Reporting, AICPA PC: Communication, IMA: Reporting & Control: Cost Accounting

Questions Chapter 2 (Continued)

6. For the two finished projects, assuming the clients have now paid for them or at least have been billed for them, the costs would be held in COGS. For the project that is only 2/3 complete, the costs would be held in WIP Inventory and would include DM, DL, and MOH costs. She wouldn't have anything in inventory for the project that hasn't been started yet, though she might have some costs in RM Inventory if she keeps some basic raw materials on-hand for these projects.

LO: 2, Bloom: C, Difficulty: Simple, Time: 3-5, AACSB: Knowledge, Communication, AICPA BC: N/A, AICPA AC: Reporting, AICPA PC: Communication, IMA: Reporting & Control: Cost Accounting

7. Direct labor costs for a manufacturer are initially recorded as part of WIP Inventory, which is an asset. These costs are combined with other direct and indirect product costs to determine the total cost (asset) of the inventory item(s). When these inventory items are sold, those assets transition to expenses on the income statement as COGS.

LO: 3, Bloom: C, Difficulty: Simple, Time: 3-5, AACSB: Knowledge, Communication, AICPA BC: N/A, AICPA AC: Reporting, AICPA PC: Communication, IMA: Reporting & Control: Cost Accounting

8. Ping's friend is incorrect. The inverse is true: product costs are the same thing as manufacturing costs, and period costs are the same thing as non-manufacturing costs. Examples of these costs for a dining room furniture manufacturer could include:

| DM—oak (lumber), wood varnish, felt pads for legs | | | | |
|---|--|--|--|--|
| Product/Manufacturing Costs | DL—workers' time used to cut wood, assemble | | | |
| | MOH—glue, ink to stamp manufacturing date, factory | | | |
| | utilities | | | |
| Period/Non-Manufacturing | Advertising | | | |
| | Salaries for company executives | | | |
| Costs | Commission for salespeople | | | |

LO: 3, Bloom: C, Difficulty: Simple, Time: 3-5, AACSB: Knowledge, Communication, AICPA BC: N/A, AICPA AC: Reporting, AICPA PC: Communication, IMA: Reporting & Control: Cost Accounting

9. Prime costs = DM + DL (the direct, traceable [primary] costs in a product)

is needed in each unit manufactured.

Conversion costs = DL + MOH (costs incurred to convert the direct materials into the final product)

| Prime costs | DM + DL |
|------------------|---|
| Conversion costs | DL + MOH (examples such as depreciation on machinery and |
| | equipment, factory utilities, indirect materials, and indirect labor) |

LO: 3, Bloom: C, Difficulty: Simple, Time: 3-5, AACSB: Knowledge, AICPA BC: N/A, AICPA AC: Reporting, AICPA PC: N/A, IMA: Reporting & Control: Cost Accounting

10. Some examples of fixed production costs for a manufacturer such as Wilford Company are depreciation on plant and equipment, supervisor salaries, and rent, insurance, and taxes on the plant. All of these costs supply the relevant range of capacity noted in the question. Direct material costs, on the other hand, are variable product costs. They are incurred for each unit that is produced. They do not supply capacity, rather, they reflect the necessary material cost that

LO: 4, Bloom: C, Difficulty: Simple, Time: 3-5, AACSB: Knowledge, Communication, AICPA BC: N/A, AICPA AC: Reporting, AICPA PC: Communication, IMA: Reporting & Control: Cost Accounting

11. Raw materials (RM) Inventory, WIP Inventory, and FG Inventory store product costs on the balance sheet before the products are sold. RM Inventory recognizes only raw material costs that are available for production. WIP Inventory recognizes all production costs that are being used to produce the products. Once the products are complete, FG Inventory houses the total cost of goods available for sale. Once the finished units are sold, COGS recognizes the product costs as expenses on the income statement.

| LO: 5, Bloom: K, Difficulty: Simple, Time: 3-5, AACSB: Knowledge, Communication, Reporting & Control: Financial Recordkeeping, Reporting & Control: Cost Accounting | n, AICPA BC: N/A, AICPA AC: Reporting, AICPA PC: Communication, IMA: |
|---|--|
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| 2-7 | |

Questions Chapter 2 (Continued)

12. The full cost of a product is the per-unit cost of all activities in the company's value chain (all value-added business functions). This means that a product's full cost includes both product and period costs, and both variable and fixed costs. This information would be critical when setting the product's selling price and evaluating the profitability of the product (we would want the selling price to be higher than the full cost of the product to generate a profit).

LO: 6, Bloom: C, Difficulty: Simple, Time: 3-5, AACSB: Knowledge, Communication, AICPA BC: N/A, AICPA AC: Measurement Analysis and Interpretation, Reporting, AICPA PC: Communication, IMA: Reporting & Control: Financial Recordkeeping, Reporting & Control: Cost Accounting

13. When costs are grouped by behavior (variable vs. fixed), the key subtotal is contribution margin, as presented on a contribution margin income statement. When costs are grouped by function (product vs. period), the subtotal is gross margin, as presented on a traditional GAAP income statement.

LO: 6, Bloom: K, Difficulty: Simple, Time: 3-5, AACSB: Knowledge, AICPA BC: N/A, AICPA AC: Measurement Analysis and Interpretation, Reporting, AICPA PC: Communication, IMA: Reporting & Control: Financial Statement Preparation

14. No. While the traditional and contribution margin income statements show different subtotals (gross margin and contribution margin), both have the same total amount of operating income*. All of the same expenses are included in each; they are just organized and presented differently. The contribution margin income statement is generally recognized to be the more appropriate income for internal decision-making, however, as it can easily be adjusted to reflect a different volume of units, a different selling price, a different variable cost per unit, and a different amount of fixed costs. Chapter 4 in this text, on Cost-Volume-Profit, elaborates more fully on the decision-making usefulness of this income statement format.

*This holds true when the quantity of units produced equals the quantity of units sold. We assume this to be the case throughout this chapter but relax this assumption in later chapters.

LO: 6, Bloom: C, Difficulty: Simple, Time: 3-5, AACSB: Knowledge, Communication, AICPA BC: N/A, AICPA AC: Measurement Analysis and Interpretation, Reporting, AICPA PC: Communication, IMA: Reporting & Control: Financial Statement Preparation

15. (d) Both. MOH costs are product costs and can consist of both variable and fixed costs. Contribution margin income statements organize costs by behavior (first variable, then fixed), so variable MOH costs would be reported above the contribution margin subtotal, while fixed MOH costs would be reported below the contribution margin subtotal.

LO: 6, Bloom: C, Difficulty: Simple, Time: 3-5, AACSB: Knowledge, Communication, AICPA BC: N/A, AICPA AC: Reporting, AICPA PC: Communication, IMA: Reporting & Control: Financial Statement Preparation

Solutions to Brief Exercises

Brief Exercise 2.1

| Revenue (\$15 × 450 hours) | \$ 6,750 |
|----------------------------|-------------|
| Less: Expenses | (3,900) |
| Profit | \$ 2,850 |

His expenses would not include COGS, because he is not selling any goods. He is providing a service.

Five expenses Eli would have recognized in his business might include:

- 1. Gas/oil for lawnmower
- 2. Depreciation on mower/trailer/truck
- 3. Maintenance on mower/trailer/truck
- 4. Labor cost, if others are hired
- Insurance on his business

And yes, all of these expenses are costs.

LO: 1, Bloom: AP, Difficulty: Simple, Time: 3-5, AACSB: Knowledge, Communication, Analytic, AICPA BC: N/A, AICPA AC: Reporting, AICPA PC: Communication, IMA: Reporting & Control: Cost Accounting

Brief Exercise 2.2

The only sunk cost is the five-year-old purchase price of \$45,000. Sunk costs are costs that were incurred in the past. The truck purchase has already been made, and therefore those costs should not be considered in future decision-making.

LO: 1, Bloom: C, Difficulty: Simple, Time: 3-5, AACSB: Knowledge, Communication, Analytic, AICPA BC: N/A, AICPA AC: Reporting, AICPA PC: Communication, IMA: Strategy, Planning, & Performance: Capital Investment Decisions

Brief Exercise 2.3

| Item | Asset or Expense | Calculation | Amount |
|---------------------------|---|---------------------------|--------------|
| | Asset (beginning of month) | | \$ 32,000.00 |
| Forklift purchase | Expense (depreciation expense) | $32,000 \div 8 \div 12 =$ | 333.33 |
| | Asset (end of month) | \$32,000 - \$333.33 = | 31,666.67 |
| Purchase of materials | Expense (all materials were used; included in COGS) | | 114,000.00 |
| Payroll | Expense (production payroll in COGS, other in SG&A) | | 45,000.00 |
| Investment in mutual fund | Asset (will benefit future periods) | | 65,000.00 |

Total expenses: \$333.33 + \$114,000.00 + \$45,000.00 = **\$159,333.33**

Total assets: \$31,667.67 + \$65,000.00 = **\$96,667.67**

LO: 1, Bloom: AP, Difficulty: Simple, Time: 5-10, AACSB: Knowledge, Analytic, AICPA BC: N/A, AICPA AC: Reporting, AICPA PC: N/A, IMA: Reporting & Control: Financial Recordkeeping, Reporting & Control: Cost Accounting

Brief Exercise 2.4

The firm will recognize labor cost for this job of \$1,100 (20 hours × \$55 per hour).

These labor costs will be included in the firm's Cost of Services or Cost of Sales on its income statement (depending on its preference in terminology).

LO: 2, Bloom: AP, Difficulty: Simple, Time: 3-5, AACSB: Knowledge, Analytic, AICPA BC: N/A, AICPA AC: Reporting, AICPA PC: N/A, IMA: Reporting & Control: Financial Recordkeeping

Brief Exercise 2.5

As a retailer (merchandiser) last year, Xelda's COGS consisted of the cost of the products (such as reams of paper, toner for printers, shelving units, etc.) it purchased from suppliers and subsequently sold to consumers.

This year, Xelda would have many of the same COGS for all of the items it still purchases from suppliers and turns around and sells to its consumers. But for the products it manufactures itself, the COGS would include DM, DL, and MOH costs. DM costs would include the shelving kits as purchased; DL costs would include Xelda's cost of labor to assemble the shelving units; MOH cost would include any other indirect materials or indirect labor Xelda incurred in the assembly of the shelving units.

The key difference in product costs between merchandisers and manufacturers is the additional conversion cost (DL and MOH) needed in a manufacturing environment. These conversion costs, along with DM costs, are included in the company's COGS when the manufactured products are sold.

LO: 2, 3, Bloom: C, Difficulty: Simple, Time: 3-5, AACSB: Knowledge, Communication, Analytic, AICPA BC: N/A, AICPA AC: Reporting, AICPA PC: Communication, IMA: Reporting & Control: Financial Recordkeeping, Reporting & Control: Cost Accounting

Brief Exercise 2.6

Prime costs include direct material costs and direct labor costs:

$$$10,000 + $15,000 = $25,000$$

Conversion costs include direct labor costs and manufacturing overhead (MOH) costs. In this case, MOH includes the production supervisor's salary, production utilities, and depreciation on production equipment.

LO: 3, Bloom: AP, Difficulty: Simple, Time: 3-5, AACSB: Knowledge, Analytic, AICPA BC: N/A, AICPA AC: Reporting, AICPA PC: N/A, IMA: Reporting & Control: Cost Accounting

Brief Exercise 2.7

| Last Year | | This Ye | ear | |
|--|---------------|--|-----|---------|
| Total variable costs (\$4.50 × 40,000) | \$ 180,000 | Total variable costs (\$4.50 × 50,000) | \$ | 225,000 |
| Total fixed costs | 80,000 | Total fixed costs | | 80,000 |
| Total cost | 260,000 | Total cost | | 305,000 |
| Per-unit cost (\$260,000 ÷ 40,000) | \$ 6.50 | Per-unit cost (\$305,000 ÷ 50,000) | \$ | 6.10 |

LO: 4, Bloom: AP, Difficulty: Simple, Time: 5-10, AACSB: Knowledge, Analytic, AICPA BC: N/A, AICPA AC: Measurement Analysis and Interpretation, Reporting, AICPA PC: N/A, IMA: Reporting & Control: Cost Accounting

Brief Exercise 2.8

Baked Goods Inventory

| | | | · <i>J</i> |
|-----------|-----|---|------------|
| Beg. | 390 | | |
| Purchases | 875 | | |
| | | ? | COGS |
| End. | 530 | | |

Solve for COGS: \$390 + \$875 - COGS = \$530 \$1,265 - COGS = 530 COGS = **\$735**

LO: 5, Bloom: AP, Difficulty: Simple, Time: 3-5, AACSB: Knowledge, Analytic, AICPA BC: N/A, AICPA AC: Reporting, AICPA PC: N/A, IMA: Reporting & Control: Financial Recordkeeping

Brief Exercise 2.9

Merchandise Inventory

| | | | |
|-----------|--------|--------|------|
| Beg. | 24,000 | | |
| Purchases | 89,000 | | |
| | | 105,00 | |
| | | 0 | COGS |
| End. | ? | | |

Solve for the ending balance: \$24,000 + \$89,000 - \$105,000 = Ending Balance Ending Balance = **\$8,000**

LO: 5, Bloom: AP, Difficulty: Simple, Time: 3-5, AACSB: Knowledge, Analytic, AICPA BC: N/A, AICPA AC: Reporting, AICPA PC: N/A, IMA: Reporting & Control: Financial Recordkeeping

Brief Exercise 2.10

| Contribution Margin | Incor | ne Statement | Traditional Income Statemen | | tement |
|----------------------|-------|--------------|-----------------------------|----|--------|
| Revenue | \$ | 21,000 | Revenue | \$ | 21,000 |
| Less: Variable costs | | 7,500 | Less: COGS | | 6,000 |
| Contribution margin | | 13,500 | Gross margin | | 15,000 |
| Less: Fixed costs | | 3,900 | Less: SG&A expenses | | 5,400 |
| Operating income | \$ | 9,600 | Operating income | \$ | 9,600 |

Note: Notice that operating income is the same, regardless of which income statement format is used*. Each format includes the same items but has its own way of organizing the information.

LO: 6, Bloom: AP, Difficulty: Simple, Time: 5-10, AACSB: Knowledge, Analytic, AICPA BC: N/A, AICPA AC: Measurement Analysis and Interpretation, Reporting, AICPA PC: N/A, IMA: Reporting & Control: Financial Statement Preparation

^{*}This holds true when the quantity of units produced equals the quantity of units sold. We assume this to be the case throughout this chapter but relax this assumption in later chapters.

Brief Exercise 2.11

| Revenue | \$ 340,000 |
|-----------------------|---------------|
| ÷ Volume of units | 170 |
| Average selling price | \$ 2,000 |

Total variable costs = 170 units × \$950 variable cost/unit = \$161,500

Contribution Margin Income Statement

| Revenue | \$ 340,000 |
|----------------------|---------------|
| Less: Variable costs | 161,500 |
| Contribution margin | \$ 178,500 |
| ÷ Volume of units | 170 |
| CM/unit | \$ 1,050 |

LO: 6, Bloom: AP, Difficulty: Simple, Time: 5-10, AACSB: Knowledge, Analytic, AICPA BC: N/A, AICPA AC: Measurement Analysis and Interpretation, Reporting, AICPA PC: N/A, IMA: Reporting & Control: Financial Statement Preparation

Brief Exercise 2.12

To determine the missing amounts, set up a traditional income statement and fill in the given amounts. Work backwards from operating income to solve for gross margin, first. Then, work backwards from gross margin to solve for total revenue.

Traditional Income Statement

| Revenue (\$227,000 + \$77,500) | \$ 304,500 |
|-------------------------------------|---------------|
| Less: COGS | 77,500 |
| Gross margin (\$185,000 + \$42,000) | \$ 227,000 |
| Less: SG&A expenses | 42,000 |
| Operating income | \$ 185,000 |

LO: 6, Bloom: AP, Difficulty: Simple, Time: 3-5, AACSB: Knowledge, Analytic, AICPA BC: N/A, AICPA AC: Measurement Analysis and Interpretation, Reporting, AICPA PC: N/A, IMA: Reporting & Control: Financial Statement Preparation

Brief Exercise 2.13

The full cost of Nora's waffle cone activity this month includes *all* costs in the company's value chain (product and period, fixed and variable).

Total Full cost = \$2,500 + \$1,300 + \$400 + \$1,000 + \$500 = \$5,700

Full cost/unit = $$5,700 \div 2,280$ cones = \$2.50/cone

LO: 6, Bloom: AP, Difficulty: Simple, Time: 3-5, AACSB: Knowledge, Analytic, AICPA BC: N/A, AICPA AC: Measurement Analysis and Interpretation, Reporting, AICPA PC: N/A, IMA: Reporting & Control: Cost Accounting

Solutions to Exercises

Exercise 2.1 (10–15 minutes)

a.

| Account | Total | Expense | Asset |
|--------------------------------------|--------------|------------|------------|
| Cost of merchandise sold | \$ 420,000 | \$ 420,000 | |
| Salaries and wages expense | 238,000 | 238,000 | |
| Uncollectible accounts expense | 19,500 | 19,500 | |
| Depreciation expense | 85,600 | 85,600 | |
| Rent expense | 76,300 | 76,300 | |
| Marketing expense | 25,800 | 25,800 | |
| Research and development | 44,000 | 44,000 | |
| Cost of land for new office building | 320,000 | | \$ 320,000 |
| Total | \$ 1,229,200 | \$ 909,200 | \$ 320,000 |

- b. The total for the "Expense" column from part (a) = \$909,200
 Operating income = Revenue Expenses
 Operating income = \$1,315,000 \$909,200 = \$405,800
- c. It is common for companies to use a variety of names for different types of costs and expenses. In many cases, multiple terms can be appropriately used to describe the same expense—such as the uncollectible accounts expense shown above. Many different account titles are used and accepted for this type of expense; each company determines its preferred term and then will use that consistently going forward. In other cases, due to a lack of understanding or a lack of care some individuals will loosely throw terms around without realizing they are causing confusion.

Any individual who would like to have a clear(er) understanding of a company's accounts should ask to speak with a professional in the accounting department so he or she can ask questions and get clarification on account names, meanings, placement on the financial statements, etc. Accounting professionals enjoy speaking this language of business and are generally more than happy to help others learn the language, as well.

LO: 1, Bloom: AP, Difficulty: Moderate, Time: 10-15, AACSB: Knowledge, Communication, Analytic, AICPA BC: N/A, AICPA AC: Reporting, AICPA PC: Communication, IMA: Reporting & Control: Financial Recordkeeping

Exercise 2.2 (10–15 minutes)

a.

| Driv | ring | |
|--------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| Mileage | 1,200 mi × | \$ |
| reimbursement | \$0.51/mi | 612 |
| Meal reimbursement | 3 days × \$44/day | <u>132</u> |
| Total | | <u>\$</u> 744 |
| Total | | <u>/44</u> |
| | Flying | |
| Ti ala | i iyilig | ተ 200 |
| Flight | | \$ 300 |
| Meal reimbursement | 2.5 days × \$44/day | 110 |
| Parking at airport | | 80 |

Total <u>\$490</u>

Exercise 2.2 (Continued)

Flying would be the least costly option.

- b. This information further makes flying the least costly option. His ability to be productive will not reduce the travel cost of either option, but his productive time will allow the firm to generate profit from his ability to work while on the flight (Sales Cost of Services).
- c. Opportunity costs are the foregone benefits of the option(s) not chosen. In this case, the opportunity costs of choosing to drive are the actual difference in travel cost (\$744 \$490 = \$254), and the lost profit that could have been generated while on the flight.

But no, these opportunity costs will not show up on the firm's financial statements. Opportunity costs are not actually incurred by the firm.

LO: 1, Bloom: AP, Difficulty: Moderate, Time: 10-15, AACSB: Knowledge, Communication, Analytic, AICPA BC: N/A, AICPA AC: Reporting, AICPA PC: Decision Making, Communication, IMA: N/A

Exercise 2.3 (5–10 minutes)

- a. Yes, Cost of revenue *is* an expense. It is the cost of earning the revenue this year, or the expense that matches the revenue earned this year. It may not include the word "expense" in the name because the company chose the name based on industry trends, or just preference. This is common language, though, similar to COGS, which is also an expense.
- b. Another name for this category would be Selling, General, and Administrative Expenses (SG&A). All of these listed are expenses, but not all of them are *cash* expenses:

| out the contract the contract | , but not an or thom are out, cr |
|-------------------------------------|----------------------------------|
| Cash | Non-Cash |
| - Selling and marketing | - Depreciation & amortization |
| - R&D | |
| - General & administrative expenses | |
| - Restructuring charges | |

c. Prepaid expenses and other current assets increased by \$2,340 from 2020 to 2021. The only way this account could increase is if more prepaid assets were purchased (paid for) than the existing prepaid assets were used (expensed).

LO: 1, 2, Bloom: AN, Difficulty: Simple, Time: 5-10, AACSB: Knowledge, Analytic, AICPA BC: N/A, AICPA AC: Reporting, AICPA PC: Communication, IMA: Reporting & Control: Financial Statement Analysis

Exercise 2.4 (10–15 minutes)

a. Total cost/volume of shirts = $$125,000 \div 20,000$ shirts = \$6.25 cost/unit GM/unit = selling price - cost/unit = \$13.00 - \$6.25 = \$6.75/unit

b.

| Cost of collar | \$ 0.50 | Selling price | \$ 13.00 |
|---------------------|------------|-----------------|-------------|
| New DL cost | 2.00 | Less: Cost/unit | 8.75 |
| Original cost | 6.25 | New GM/unit | \$ 4.25 |
| New total cost/unit | \$ 8.75 | | |

Exercise 2.4 (Continued)

c. The sunk cost in this situation was the original cost of \$6.25/shirt.

| Selling price | \$ 11.00 |
|-----------------|-------------|
| Less: Cost/unit | 6.25 |
| New GM/unit | \$ 4.75 |

The GM/unit selling them as-is for \$11 is \$4.75 per unit.

This is greater than the GM/unit of \$4.25 after fixing them and selling them for \$13.

The difference in GM between the two scenarios is \$0.50, which would result in extra total margin of \$10,000 ($$0.50 \times 20,000$ units) for the company. So, **yes**, Trihs-T would have been better off selling them as-is.

LO: 1, 2, Bloom: AN, Difficulty: Moderate, Time: 10-15, AACSB: Knowledge, Communication, Analytic, AICPA BC: N/A, AICPA AC: Reporting, AICPA PC: Communication, IMA: Strategy, Planning, & Performance: Strategic Cost Management

Exercise 2.5 (5–10 minutes)

- a. The snorkeling excursion business is a service organization. The only product that is provided as part of the deal is the snack to the customer.
- b. There are a lot of examples that students could give, including the following: depreciation expense on the boat, cost for the tour guide (or the boat driver, if Isaiah's going to be the guide), depreciation on life jackets, insurance on the boat, and liability insurance on his business, for example. Fuel expense would be a fixed cost per trip,
- c. The only variable cost described is the cost of food for each person.
- d. 2 trips/day × 15 days/month = 30 trips/month 30 trips/month × 12 customers/trip = 360 customers/month 360 customers/month × \$125/customer = \$45,000 revenue/month

Subtract total fixed costs (\$15,000) from revenue (\$45,000), and you'll get the total variable costs he would need in order to break even (\$30,000).

If we divide these total variable costs (\$30,000) by the total number of customers (360), we get the variable cost per customer of **\$83.33**.

As long as Isaiah can keep the cost of snacks below \$83.33 per person, he will make a profit on each snorkeling customer. It seems very likely that he could keep his snack costs below that level.

LO: 2, 4, Bloom: AP, Difficulty: Simple, Time: 5-10, AACSB: Knowledge, Communication, Analytic, AICPA BC: Strategic Perspective, AICPA AC: Reporting, AICPA PC: Communication, IMA: Reporting & Control: Cost Accounting

Exercise 2.6 (5-10 minutes)

| 1 | 2 | 3 (a) | 4 (b) | 5 (b) |
|-------------------------------------|-----------|----------------------|-----------------------|---------------------|
| Cost Description | Amount | Product or Period | Direct or Indirect | Prime or Conversion |
| Wages for hourly factory workers | \$ 45,000 | Product | Direct | Both |
| Social media marketing | 13,000 | Period | - | - |
| Canvas material | 32,500 | Product | Direct | Prime |
| Thread for sewing the bags | 1,000 | Product | Indirect | Conv. |
| Executive salaries | 87,000 | Period | - | - |
| Factory supervisor salaries | 63,500 | Product | Indirect | Conv. |
| Depreciation on factory assets | 39,000 | Product | Indirect | Conv. |
| Wages and commission to salespeople | 45,000 | Period | - | - |
| Utility costs for the factory | 9,300 | Product | Indirect | Conv. |

c. Total manufacturing costs = DM + DL + MOH

| DM (canvas material) | | \$ | 32,500 |
|--------------------------------|-------------|----|---------|
| DL (factory worker wages) | | | 45,000 |
| MOH | | | |
| Thread | \$ 1,000 | | |
| Factory supervisor salaries | 63,500 | | |
| Depreciation on factory assets | 39,000 | | |
| Utility costs for the factory | \$ 9,300 | _ | |
| Total MOH | | | 112,800 |
| Total manufacturing costs | | \$ | 190,300 |

LO: 3, Bloom: AP, Difficulty: Simple, Time: 5-10, AACSB: Knowledge, Analytic, AICPA BC: N/A, AICPA AC: Reporting, AICPA PC: N/A, IMA: Reporting & Control: Cost Accounting

Exercise 2.7 (10-15 minutes)

a. COGS is made up of DM, DL, and MOH, and DM is the only amount not known. So, set up an equation and solve it algebraically.

COGS = DM + DL + MOH

\$33,800 = DM + \$8,200 + \$14,000

\$33.800 = DM + \$22.200

DM = \$11,600

Period costs are another name for operating expenses, so period costs = \$9,100.

Product costs are just equal to COGS in this case because there were no beginning/ending inventories: \$33,800.

Total expenses = product costs + period costs Total expenses = \$33,800 + \$9,100 = **\$42,900**

b. Since there are no beginning or ending inventories, the DM cost expensed is equal to the total DM cost incurred: **\$11,600**. It is included in COGS.

Exercise 2.7 (Continued)

C.

| Total operating expenses | \$ 9,100 |
|------------------------------------|-------------|
| Less: Advertising expense | 1,500 |
| Less: Executive/administrative | |
| salaries | 4,000 |
| Unaccounted-for operating expenses | \$ 3,600 |

That \$3,600 could be comprised of:

- Insurance, depreciation, and/or utilities on office space and equipment,
- Rent on office space,
- Commissions to salespeople, and/or
- Shipping to customers, for example.

LO: 3, Bloom: AN, Difficulty: Simple, Time: 10-15, AACSB: Knowledge, Analytic, AICPA BC: N/A, AICPA AC: Reporting, AICPA PC: N/A, IMA: Reporting & Control: Cost Accounting

Exercise 2.8 (15-20 minutes)

a. Total fixed costs = Fixed MOH/unit × volume of units Total fixed costs = \$0.40 × 2,000,000 units = **\$800,000**

> Gross Margin = Revenue – COGS COGS = product cost/unit × volume of units sold GM = $(2,000,000 \times \$1.95) - (2,000,000 \times \$0.80) = \$2,300,000$

b. New FC/unit = total FC ÷ new volume of units
New FC/unit = \$800,000 ÷ 1,600,000 = \$0.50 (it increased by \$0.10)

| DM | \$ 0.10 |
|--------------|------------|
| DL | 0.20 |
| Variable MOH | 0.10 |
| Fixed MOH | 0.50 |
| New total | |
| cost/unit | \$ 0.90 |

GM = Revenue - COGS GM = $(1,600,000 \times \$1.95) - (1,600,000 \times \$0.90) = \$1,680,000$

c. New FC/unit = total FC \div new volume of units New FC/unit = $\$800,000 \div 2,500,000 = \0.32 (it decreased by \$0.08 from the original)

| DM | \$ 0.10 |
|---------------------|------------|
| DL | 0.20 |
| Variable MOH | 0.10 |
| Fixed MOH | 0.32 |
| New total cost/unit | \$ 0.72 |

GM = Revenue - COGS GM = $(2,500,000 \times \$1.95) - (2,500,000 \times \$0.72) = \$3,075,000$

Exercise 2.8 (Continued)

d. The salespeople would have more flexibility to give discounts under scenario (c), as cost is lower. So, if the selling price stays the same, the company would profit more for every unit sold. But, if the salespeople give deep discounts under option (c), the company may not be any better off.

If, for example, salespeople discounted the selling price to \$1.80, the company would be better off, generating GM of \$2,700,000:

| Selling price | \$ | 1.80 |
|---------------------|-------|---------|
| Less: Cost per unit | | 0.72 |
| GM/unit | \$ | 1.08 |
| × volume (C) | 2, | 500,000 |
| New GM | \$ 2, | 700,000 |

To generate the same GM as last year, we can work backwards to determine the selling price:

| \$ | 1.64 | Γ |
|--------|---------|------|
| | 0.72 | |
| \$ | 0.92 | |
| 2, | 500,000 | |
| \$ 2,3 | 300,000 | |
| | \$ 2,5 | 0.72 |

Work backward. Divide GM needed by the volume to get the GM/unit needed, then add back the cost/unit from (c) to get the selling price needed.

Compared to the regular selling price of \$1.95, this is \$0.31 per unit lower, which is a 16% discount:

$$$0.31 \div $1.95 = 0.16 \rightarrow 16\%$$
 discount.

LO: 4, Bloom: AN, Difficulty: Moderate, Time: 15-20, AACSB: Knowledge, Analytic, AICPA BC: Process and Resource Management Perspectives, AICPA AC: Reporting, Systems and Process Management, AICPA PC: N/A, IMA: Reporting & Control: Cost Accounting

Exercise 2.9 (10–15 minutes)

a. Set up a T-account and solve for DM used.

| DM Inventory | | | |
|--------------|------------------|---|---------|
| Beg. | 10,000 | | |
| Purchases | 10,000 90,000 | | |
| | | ? | DM used |
| End. | 5,000 | | _ |

b. Total manufacturing costs = DM used + DL + MOH \$95,000 + \$130,000 + \$110,000 = \$335,000

Exercise 2.9 (Continued)

c. Set up T-accounts and solve for the ending balances.

| WIP Inventory | | | | | | |
|---------------|---------|---------|------|--|--|--|
| Beg. | 14,000 | | | | | |
| DM used | 95,000 | | | | | |
| DL | 130,000 | | | | | |
| MOH | 110,000 | | | | | |
| | | 327,000 | COGM | | | |
| End. | ? | | · | | | |

\$14,000 + \$335,000 - \$327,000 = WIP Inventory ending balance WIP Inventory ending balance = **\$22,000**

| FG Inventory | | | | | |
|--------------|---------|---------|------|--|--|
| Beg. | 3,000 | | | | |
| COGM | 327,000 | | | | |
| | | 315,000 | COGS | | |
| End. | ? | | | | |

\$3,000 + \$327,000 - \$315,000 = FG Inventory ending balance FG Inventory ending balance = **\$15,000**

LO: 5, Bloom: AP, Difficulty: Moderate, Time: 10-15, AACSB: Knowledge, Analytic, AICPA BC: N/A, AICPA AC: Reporting, AICPA PC: N/A, IMA: Reporting & Control: Financial Statement Preparation, Reporting & Control: Cost Accounting


Exercise 2.10 (10-15 minutes)

a.

| Schedule of COGM & COGS | | | |
|--|------------|------------|--|
| Beginning Direct Materials Inventory | \$ 8,500 | | |
| Purchases of direct materials | 140,000 | _ | |
| Direct materials available for use | \$ 148,500 | | |
| Less: Ending Direct Materials Inventory | 10,300 | _ | |
| Direct materials used | | \$ 138,200 | |
| Direct labor | | 220,000 | |
| Manufacturing overhead | | | |
| Production supervisor salary | 60,000 | | |
| Utility costs in production space | 13,000 | | |
| Depreciation on manufacturing facility and equipment | 45,000 | | |
| Indirect materials | 8,000 | | |
| Indirect labor | 15,000 | 141,000 | |
| Total manufacturing costs | | 499,200 | |
| Beginning WIP Inventory | | 20,000 | |
| Less: Ending WIP Inventory | | 12,000 | |
| Cost of goods manufactured | | 507,200 | |
| Beginning Finished Goods Inventory | | 6,500 | |
| Less: Ending Finished Goods Inventory | | 8,000 | |
| Cost of Goods Sold | | \$ 505,700 | |

Exercise 2.10 (Continued)

COGM: To find COGM, we need the cost of DM used, DL cost, and MOH cost. First, make a DM Inventory T-account and solve for cost of DM used.



\$8,500 + \$140,000 - DM used = \$10,300 \$148,500 - DM used = \$10,300 DM used = \$138,200

Then, add up all the MOH costs:

| Production supervisor salary | \$ 60,000 |
|---|---------------|
| Utilities in production space | 13,000 |
| Depreciation on manufacturing factory/equipment | 45,000 |
| Indirect materials | 8,000 |
| Indirect labor | 15,000 |
| Total MOH costs | \$ 141,000 |

Now, make a WIP Inventory T-account, enter what is known, and solve for COGM.

| | V | VIP Inventory | | | |
|---|---------|--------------------|---|------|--|
| | Beg. | 20,000 | | | |
| | DM used | 138,200 | | | |
| | DL | 220,000 141,000 | | | |
| | MOH | 141,000 | | | |
| | | | ? | COGM | |
| • | End. | 12,000 | | | |

\$20,000 + \$138,200 + \$220,000 + \$141,000 - COGM = \$12,000 \$519,200 - COGM = \$12,000 COGM = \$507,200

COGS: Now, we can find COGS by setting up a FG Inv T-account, filling in what we have, and solving for it.

| FG Inventory | | | | |
|--------------|------|------------------|---|------|
| | Beg. | 6,500 | | |
| | COGM | 6,500 507,200 | | |
| | | | ? | |
| | | | | COGS |
| | End. | 8,000 | | |

Exercise 2.10 (Continued)

\$6,500 + \$507,200 - COGS = \$8,000 \$513,700 - COGS = \$8,000 COGS = **\$505,700**

- b. No; these schedules are for internal purposes only. They help identify product costs and provide the detail behind the calculations of COGM and COGS.
- c. No; these costs are not part of the COGM or COGS. They are period costs, so they would only be shown on the income statement as part of the operating expense or SG&A section.

LO: 5, Bloom: AP, Difficulty: Moderate, Time: 10-15, AACSB: Knowledge, Communication, Analytic, AICPA BC: N/A, AICPA AC: Reporting, AICPA PC: Communication, IMA: Reporting & Control: Financial Statement Preparation

Exercise 2.11 (10–15 minutes)

a. Create T-accounts and fill in the information you're given. Then, solve for ending balances.

| DM Inventory | | | | |
|------------------------|--------|---|---------|--|
| ¹ Beg. | 4,000 | | | |
| ² Purchases | 35,000 | | | |
| | | ? | | |
| | | | DM used | |
| ¹ End. | 2,700 | | | |

\$4,000 + \$35,000 - DM used = \$2,700 \$39,000 - DM used = \$2,700 DM used = \$36,300

 WIP Inventory

 Beg.
 6,000

 DM used
 36,300

 3DL
 29,000

 4MOH
 16,000

 given End.

\$6,000 + \$36,300 + \$29,000 + \$16,000 - COGM = \$0 \$87,300 - COGM = \$0 COGM = \$87,300

| FG Inventory | | | | |
|-------------------|--------|---|------|--|
| ⁶ Beg. | - | | | |
| COGM | 87,300 | | | |
| | | ? | COGS | |
| ⁷ End. | 2,400 | | | |

\$87,300 - COGS = \$2,400

Exercise 2.11 (Continued)

The client used DM purchased, DL cost, and MOH cost to calculate COGS: \$35,000 + \$29,000 + \$16,000 = \$80,000

The correct COGS amount considers beginning and ending balances of each type of inventory in addition to new DM, DL, and MOH costs incurred. The client neglected to consider the effect of any inventory balance, beginning or ending.

- b. GM would have been too high by \$4,900. The client subtracted only \$80,000 to reach GM while it should have subtracted \$84,900 to reach GM.
- c. It would be effective for Charlie to use a ledger or T-accounts to show the movement/transfer of costs from one inventory account to another, at the same time explaining the capitalization of these product costs in inventory, until the point that they are sold.

LO: 5, Bloom: AN, Difficulty: Moderate, Time: 10-15, AACSB: Knowledge, Communication, Analytic, AICPA BC: Customer Perspective, AICPA AC: Reporting, AICPA PC: Communication, IMA: Reporting & Control: Financial Recordkeeping

Exercise 2.12 (5–10 minutes)

a.

Contribution Margin Income Statement

| Revenue (\$20 × 2,400 units) | \$ 48,000 | | |
|--|-----------|--|--|
| Less: Variable product costs (\$8 × 2,400 units) | 19,200 | | |
| Less: Variable period costs (\$1 × 2,400 units) | 2,400 | | |
| Contribution margin | 26,400 | | |
| Less: Fixed costs | 13,000 | | |
| Operating income | \$ 13,400 | | |

b.

Traditional Income Statement

| Revenue (\$20 × 2,400 units) | \$ 48,000 |
|--|-----------|
| Less: COGS (\$8 × 2,400 units) | 19,200 |
| Gross margin | 28,800 |
| Less: Variable SG&A expenses (\$1 × 2,400 units) | 2,400 |
| Less: Fixed SG&A expenses | 13,000 |
| Operating income | \$ 13,400 |

c. The contribution margin income statement organizes costs by behavior (variable vs. fixed) using CM as the key subtotal, while the traditional income statement organizes costs by function (product vs. period) and uses GM as the key subtotal. Both income statements recognize the same operating income, still.

The CM income statement lends itself better to volume-based budgeting since the variable costs are clearly identifiable in this format (and since total variable costs will change if volume changes, even while total fixed costs will not change).

Exercise 2.12 (Continued)

A traditional income statement is more challenging for estimating volume-based changes because the variable and fixed components of the product cost (and oftentimes the SG&A costs, too) are not identifiable.

LO: 6, Bloom: AP, Difficulty: Simple, Time: 5-10, AACSB: Knowledge, Communication, Analytic, AICPA BC: N/A, AICPA AC: Measurement Analysis and Interpretation, Reporting, AICPA PC: Communication, IMA: Reporting & Control: Financial Statement Preparation, Reporting & Control: Financial Statement Analysis

Exercise 2.13 (15-20 minutes)

For both a) and b), split COGS and SG&A into their variable and fixed components. Use what you're given (fixed manufacturing costs and the variable SG&A rate) and solve for the missing component.

| Traditional Income Statement | | | | |
|------------------------------|----|------------|--|--|
| Revenue | \$ | 35,000,000 | | |
| Less: COGS | | 22,950,000 | | |
| Gross margin Less: SG&A | \$ | 12,050,000 | | |
| expenses | | 5,015,000 | | |
| Operating income | \$ | 7,035,000 | | |

| a) <u>Variable COGS:</u> \$22,950,000 - \$8,450,000 = \$14,500,000 \$14,500,000 ÷ 50,000 units = \$290/unit <u>Fixed COGS:</u> \$8,450,000 |
|--|
| b) <u>Variable SG&A:</u> \$25 × 50,000 units = \$1,250,000 <u>Fixed SG&A:</u> \$5,015,000 - \$1,250,000 = \$3,765,000 |

C.

| Contribution Margin Income Statement | | | |
|---|----|------------|--|
| Revenue | \$ | 35,000,000 | |
| Less: Variable costs (\$14,500,000 + \$1,250,000) | | 15,750,000 | |
| Contribution margin | \$ | 19,250,000 | |
| | | | |

Less: Fixed costs (\$8,450,000 + \$3,765,000) 12,215,000 Operating income \$ 7,035,000

She would want to create this income statement for decision-making purposes, as it will allow her to budget for different revenue and total variable costs given different volumes and/or given a change in selling prices. Many different what-if scenarios could be quickly pulled together when using the contribution margin format.

d. GM/unit =
$$$12,050,000 \div 50,000$$
 units = $$241/unit$ CM/unit = $$19,250,000 \div 50,000$ units = $$385/unit$

GM/unit is the amount earned per unit that will go toward covering period/SG&A costs and generating a profit after manufacturing costs have been covered.

CM/unit is the amount earned per unit that will go toward covering fixed costs and generating a profit after variable costs have been covered.

LO: 6, Bloom: AN, Difficulty: Moderate, Time: 15-20, AACSB: Knowledge, Communication, Analytic, AICPA BC: N/A, AICPA AC: Measurement Analysis and Interpretation, Reporting, AICPA PC: Communication, IMA: Reporting & Control: Financial Statement Preparation

Exercise 2.14 (10–15 minutes)

a.

- (1) Total Cost of Services = Variable Cost of services + Fixed Cost of services \$220,000 + \$148,000 = \$368,000
- (2) Total SG&A costs = Variable SG&A + Fixed SG&A

\$33,000 + \$73,000 = **\$106,000**

Exercise 2.14 (Continued)

b.

| Traditional Income Statement | | | |
|-------------------------------------|-----------|--|--|
| Revenue | \$550,000 | | |
| Less: Cost of Services | 368,000 | | |
| Gross margin | 182,000 | | |
| Less: SG&A | | | |
| expenses | 106,000 | | |
| Operating income | \$ 76,000 | | |

- c. The income statement given in the exercise is a CM income statement, which means the costs are organized by behavior (variable vs. fixed), rather than by function (product vs. period), like they are in the traditional GM income statement. Still, both income statements determine operating income to be the same amount because the same costs are included in both statements, they are just presented differently in each.
- d. The partners likely wanted the managers to do this exercise so they could see how the different categories of costs are presented differently on each income statement yet yield the same operating income. This knowledge could help them be more aware of how their own work—their efficiencies and inefficiencies—can impact the company's bottom line.

LO: 6, Bloom: AN, Difficulty: Moderate, Time: 10-15, AACSB: Knowledge, Communication, Analytic, AICPA BC: N/A, AICPA AC: Measurement Analysis and Interpretation, Reporting, AICPA PC: Communication, IMA: Reporting & Control: Financial Statement Preparation

Exercise 2.15 (5–10 minutes)

а

| Cost of stone slabs | \$26,400 |
|--|---------------|
| MOH in fabrication process | 3,500 |
| Labor costs of cutting, treating, and instal | llation18,700 |
| Total product costs | \$48,600 |
| b. | |
| Commissions for salespeople | \$3,150 |
| Depreciation on sales and office space | 2,800 |
| Marketing costs | 900 |
| R&D costs | 1,300 |
| Total SG&A costs | \$8,150 |

c. Full cost = product costs + SG&A costs Full cost = \$48.600 + \$8.150 = **\$56.750**

> Average full cost/project = full cost ÷ number of projects Average full cost/project = \$56,750 ÷ 15 = **\$3,783.33**

d. You should prefer to have the information from **part (c)** of this problem so you can base the selling price on the *full* cost of a project rather than just one type of cost. The company will only generate a profit if its selling price is higher than the product's full cost.

LO: 6, Bloom: AN, Difficulty: Moderate, Time: 5-10, AACSB: Knowledge, Communication, Analytic, AICPA BC: N/A, AICPA AC: Reporting, AICPA PC: Communication, IMA: Reporting & Control: Cost Accounting

Solutions to Problems

Problem 2.1

а

| Initial freight cost of getting product to lumber yard | \$ 133,800 | (1) Cost of sales |
|--|------------|-----------------------------------|
| Marketing costs | 87,000 | (2) SG&A |
| Total cost of product sold | 1,534,000 | (1) Cost of sales |
| Depreciation cost and utility cost for lumber yard | 78,600 | (3) Depreciation and amortization |
| Cost of labor | 495,000 | (2) SG&A |
| Other operating costs | 20,600 | (2) SG&A |

Note: Labor isn't a product cost for a merchandiser because the labor cost is not for making the product, it's necessary for selling the product. Keep that key difference between manufacturers and merchandisers in mind!

Traditional Income Statement

| Revenue Less: Cost of sales (freight [\$133,800] + cost of products sold | \$2,620,000 |
|--|-------------|
| [\$1,543,000]) | 1,676,800 |
| Gross margin | 943,200 |
| Less: Expenses | |
| SG&A (marketing [\$87,000] + labor [\$495,000] + other [\$20,600]) | 602,600 |
| Depreciation and amortization | 78,600 |
| Operating income | \$ 262,000 |

b. When all merchandise inventory is sold, then all inventory costs move to Cost of Sales and are deducted to arrive at operating income. In this case, whether the freight cost was grouped in Cost of Sales or in SG&A, operating income would not have been different. However, if some of the inventory were *unsold*, and still on the balance sheet, a portion of freight costs would still be in inventory as well. That would cause operating income to differ between the treatment of freight as a product cost (grouped with cost of sales) versus SG&A (fully expensed when incurred.)

Gross margin *would* have been different had Kenton classified the freight cost differently, as shown below. This would have affected Kenton's evaluation of its performance, especially if there is a target gross margin ratio the company is expected to earn (GM% was 36% in part (a) (\$943,200 ÷ \$2,620,000); and it is 41% here (\$1,077,000 ÷ \$2,620,000)).

Traditional Income Statement

| Revenue | \$2,620,000 |
|---|-------------|
| Less: Cost of sales (cost of products sold [\$1,543,000]) | 1,543,000 |
| Gross margin | 1,077,000 |
| Less: Expenses SG&A (marketing [\$87,000] + labor [\$495,000] + other [\$20,600] | |
| + freight [\$133,800]) | 736,400 |
| Depreciation and amortization | 78,600 |

Operating Income

\$ 262,000

Problem 2.1 (Continued)

c. Freight-in is the cost required to get the product to the *company* selling it, and it's part of that company's inventoriable cost. Delivery cost is the cost required to get the product to the *customer* after the sale. Therefore, freight-in would be the most appropriate term for the freight cost in this problem.

LO: 1, 2, Bloom: AP, Difficulty: Moderate, Time: 10-15, AACSB: Knowledge, Communication, Analytic, AICPA BC: N/A, AICPA AC: Measurement Analysis and Interpretation, Reporting, AICPA PC: Communication, IMA: Reporting & Control: Financial Statement Preparation

Problem 2.2

a. Full cost = product costs + period costsFull cost = COGS + SG&A

Full cost = \$246,100 + \$210,700 = **\$456,800**

Product costs = **\$246,100** Period costs = **\$210,700**

Average selling price = Sales ÷ number of units

Average selling price = \$533,500 ÷ 35,700 units = \$14.94

Average full cost/unit = Full cost + number of units

Average full cost/unit = \$456,800 ÷ 35,700 units = **\$12.80**

- b. Remember: sunk costs were incurred in the past, and they therefore should not influence our rational decision-making today. In this situation, the sunk costs would be all expenses, long-term assets, and a few current assets (including inventory and prepaid expenses). These are all "sunk" because we can't undo these "investments."
- You would *not* find opportunity costs on the financial statements. They represent foregone benefits from choosing one path over another and are costs that aren't actually incurred. Many possible opportunity costs could be given for Murray regarding a foregone benefit as a result of choosing a different option.
 One example of an opportunity cost for Murray could be foregone profit from selling in Australia if the company decided to sell only in North America.

LO: 1, 2, 3, 6, Bloom: AN, Difficulty: Moderate, Time: 10-15, AACSB: Knowledge, Analytic, AICPA BC: N/A, AICPA AC: Reporting, AICPA PC: N/A, IMA: Reporting & Control: Financial Statement Analysis

Problem 2.3

a.

| Description | Amount | Product or Period | Variable or Fixed |
|-----------------------------|-----------|----------------------|-------------------|
| Depreciation on factory | \$ 50,000 | Product | Fixed |
| Executive salaries | 130,000 | Period | Fixed |
| Direct materials costs | 325,000 | Product | Variable |
| Administrative salaries | 79,000 | Period | Fixed |
| Wages for factory workers | 432,500 | Product | Variable |
| Advertising expense | 39,500 | Period | Fixed |
| Indirect materials | 66,000 | Product | Variable |
| Factory supervisor salaries | 90,000 | Product | Fixed |
| Commissions to salespeople | 55,000 | Period | Variable |

b.

(1)

| Traditional | Income S | Statement |
|-------------|----------|-----------|
| | | |

| | Otatement | |
|-----------------------------|---------------|-----------------|
| Revenue (32,000 × \$46) | | \$ 1,472,000 |
| Less: COGS | | |
| DM cost | \$ 325,000 | |
| Factory wages | 432,500 | |
| Factory supervisor salaries | 90,000 | |
| Depreciation on factory | 50,000 | |
| Indirect materials | 66,000 | 963,500 |
| Gross margin | | 508,500 |
| Less: SG&A expenses | | |
| Executive salaries | 130,000 | |
| Administrative salaries | 79,000 | |
| Advertising expense | 39,500 | |
| Commissions to salespeople | 55,000 | 303,500 |
| Operating income | | \$ 205,000 |
| | | |

Problem 2.3 (Continued)

(2)

| Contribution Margin Income Statement | | | | |
|--------------------------------------|------------|------|-----------|--|
| Revenue (32,000 × \$46) | | \$ ' | 1,472,000 | |
| Less: Variable costs | | | | |
| DM cost | \$ 325,000 | | | |
| Factory wages | 432,500 | | | |
| Commissions to salespeople | 55,000 | | | |
| Indirect materials | 66,000 | | 878,500 | |
| Contribution margin | | | 593,500 | |
| Less: Fixed costs | | | | |
| Depreciation on factory | 50,000 | | | |
| Executive salaries | 130,000 | | | |
| Administrative salaries | 79,000 | | | |
| Advertising expense | 39,500 | | | |
| Factory supervisor salaries | 90,000 | | 388,500 | |
| Operating income | = | \$ | 205,000 | |

Operating income = \$205,000

- c. Operating income is the same on each of the two income statement formats. Both income statements include the same costs, but they are presented differently (organized differently) in each.
- d. The numbers in red have changed from part (b).

| Traditional Incom | ie Statemeni |
|-------------------|--------------|
|-------------------|--------------|

| Revenue (32,000 × \$46) | | \$ 1,472,000 |
|--|------------|--------------|
| Less: COGS | | |
| DM cost | \$ 325,000 | |
| Factory wages (\$432,500 × 1.05) | 454,125 | |
| Factory supervisor salaries | 90,000 | |
| Depreciation on factory | 50,000 | |
| Indirect materials | 66,000 | 985,125 |
| Gross margin | | 486,875 |
| Less: SG&A expenses | | |
| Executive salaries | 130,000 | |
| Administrative salaries | 79,000 | |
| Advertising expense | 39,500 | |
| Commissions to salespeople (\$55,000 × 1.05) | 57,750 | 306,250 |
| Operating income | | \$ 180,625 |

Problem 2.3 (Continued)

Contribution Margin Income Statement

| | no otatomont | |
|--|--------------|--------------|
| Revenue (32,000 × \$46) | | \$ 1,472,000 |
| Less: Variable costs | | |
| DM cost | \$ 325,000 | |
| Factory wages (\$432,500 × 1.05) | 454,125 | |
| Commissions to salespeople (\$55,000 × 1.05) | 57,750 | |
| Indirect materials | 66,000 | 902,875 |
| Contribution margin | | 569,125 |
| Less: Fixed costs | | |
| Depreciation on factory | 50,000 | |
| Executive salaries | 130,000 | |
| Administrative salaries | 79,000 | |
| Advertising expense | 39,500 | |
| Factory supervisor salaries | 90,000 | 388,500 |
| Operating income | | \$ 180,625 |
| | | |

| | Last Year | Next Year | Cha | nge |
|-----------------|------------|------------|-----------|-------------------------|
| GM | \$ 508,500 | \$ 486,875 | \$ 21,625 | decreas e |
| CM Operating | 593,500 | 569,125 | 24,375 | decreas e decreas |
| income | 205,000 | 180,625 | 24,375 | е |

Expenses increased, so GM, CM, and operating income all decreased. Note that CM and operating income decreased by the same amount, because on the CM income statement, both of the changed expenses were variable costs, which are presented above CM.

LO: 1, 3, 4, 6, Bloom: AN, Difficulty: Moderate, Time: 15-20, AACSB: Knowledge, Communication, Analytic, AICPA BC: N/A, AICPA AC: Measurement Analysis and Interpretation, Reporting, AICPA PC: Communication, IMA: Reporting & Control: Financial Statement Preparation

Problem 2.4

a., b.

| Transaction Description | Amount | Initially an Asset or Expense (a) | Product Cost (b) |
|--|-----------|-----------------------------------|---------------------|
| Advertising | \$ 12,000 | Expense | - |
| Flour, eggs, sugar | 290,000 | Asset (DM inv) | Yes |
| Depreciation on baking equipment and kitchen | 45,000 | Asset (MOH → WIP Inv) | Yes |
| Delivery expense | 8,700 | Expense | - |
| Wages (baking employees) | 378,000 | Asset (DL → WIP Inv) | Yes |
| Research and development | 5,600 | Expense | - |
| Utilities on bakery space | 24,000 | Asset (MOH → WIP Inv) | Yes |
| Investment in mutual fund | 60,000 | Asset | - |
| Cash proceeds from loan | 50,000 | Asset | - |
| Wages (indirect labor) | 42,000 | Asset (MOH → WIP Inv) | Yes |
| Salaries (executives and office staff) | 130,000 | Expense | - |

C.

Schedule of COGM & COGS

| Ochedate of Gooth C | , 0000 | |
|---|-------------------|------------|
| Beginning Direct Materials Inventory | \$ 46,000 | |
| Purchases of direct materials | 290,000 | _ |
| Direct materials available for use | 336,000 | |
| Less: Ending Direct Materials Inventory | 32,000 | _ |
| Direct materials used | | \$ 304,000 |
| Direct labor | | 378,000 |
| Manufacturing overhead | | |
| Depreciation | 45,000 | |
| Utilities | 24,000 | |
| Wages | 42,000 | 111,000 |
| Total manufacturing costs | | \$ 793,000 |
| Beginning WIP Inventory | | 2,800 |
| Less: Ending WIP Inventory | | 2,100 |
| Cost of goods manufactured | | 793,700 |
| Beginning Finished Goods Inventory | | 35,000 |
| Less: Ending Finished Goods Inventory | | 25,000 |
| Cost of Goods Sold | | \$ 803,700 |
| | | |

d. Expected sales revenue = $\$803,700 \times 1.4 = \$1,125,180$ GM% = (Sales - COGS) ÷ Sales GM% = $(\$1,125,180 - \$803,700) \div \$1,125,180 = 28.6\%$

Problem 2.4 (Continued)

e. GM% = (Sales - COGS) ÷ Sales GM% = (\$1,080,000 - \$803,700) ÷ \$1,080,000 = **25.6%**

This GM ratio is less than the expected ratio found in part (d), so Frank may not be happy about that. This could have been a result of slightly higher product costs than normal and/or from setting a selling price slightly less than the typical 140% mark-up on product cost.

Frank might expect an increase in sales next year due to a delayed reaction from this year's sponsorship. He may want to consider sponsoring that event again if the leaders feel they can gain some traction in sales.

LO: 1, 3, 5, 6, Bloom: AN, Moderate, Time: 20-25, AACSB: Knowledge, Communication, Analytic, AICPA BC: N/A, AICPA AC: Reporting, AICPA PC: Communication, IMA: Reporting & Control: Financial Statement Preparation

Problem 2.5

a.

| Garden Center | Landscaping |
|--|---|
| Cost of plants, flowers, trees, etc. sold (\$341,000) Sales (65% = \$519,025) | Landscaping labor cost (\$145,000) Landscaping supplies (\$4,100) Sales (35% = \$279,475) |

b.

Traditional Income Statement

| Traditional meonic otatement | | | | | | |
|------------------------------|-------------------------------|-------------|----|-------------|----|-----------|
| | Garden Center Landscaping Cor | | | ompany | | |
| Revenue | \$ | 519,025 | \$ | 279,475 | \$ | 798,500 |
| Less: COGS | | 341,000 | | | | 341,000 |
| Cost of services: | | | | | | |
| Less: Landscaping labor cost | | | | 145,000 | | 145,000 |
| Less: Landscaping supplies | | | | 4,100 | | 4,100 |
| Gross Margin | \$ | 178,025 | \$ | 130,375 | \$ | 308,400 |
| ÷ Revenue | | ÷ \$519,025 | + | - \$279,475 | ÷ | \$798,499 |
| GM% | | 34.30% | | 46.65% | | 38.62% |
| Meets 40% GM% standard? | | No | | Yes | | No |

C.

Traditional Income Statement

| Traditional moonio otati | <u> </u> |
|------------------------------|------------|
| Revenue | \$ 798,500 |
| Less: COGS | 341,000 |
| Less: Cost of services | |
| Landscaping labor cost | 145,000 |
| Landscaping supplies | 4,100 |
| Gross margin | 308,400 |
| Less: All other expenses | |
| General sales and labor cost | 89,500 |
| Administrative labor cost | 38,500 |
| Advertising expense | 86,400 |
| Depreciation on equipment | 5,800 |
| Fuel cost | 2,700 |
| Utilities | 3,500 |
| Plant food/fertilizer | 1,700 |
| Operating income | \$ 80,300 |
| | |

Problem 2.5 (Continued)

d. Dear Alisha.

Overall company performance looks very good, with positive income and a profit margin of 10.06% (\$80,300 ÷ \$798,500). While the combined income statement is useful in presenting this overall view, the more detailed segment income statement is much more useful; from it we can see the higher profitability of the services arm of the business. Still, the product side of the business is doing very well too, just not quite up to the 40% GM% target. It would be useful going forward if the company could continue to track the performance of each business line separately for more targeted decision-making efforts.

In the past, the company may not have separated this information due to the difficulty of breaking the different business lines' revenue and expenses apart. This could especially be true for the landscaping side of the business, where a portion of the sales earned would likely be from the products they are planting. If that is indeed the case, the total COGS presented as a garden center cost may include some landscaping COGS. Without additional detail, we are unable to determine the portion, though. This could explain why the garden center is currently showing a slightly lower GM% than the landscaping side of the business. Hopefully going forward, a more concerted effort can be made to keep key revenue and expense items separate for each of these business lines.

LO: 2, 3, 6, Bloom: AP, Difficulty: Moderate, Time: 10-15, AACSB: Knowledge, Communication, Analytic, AICPA BC: N/A, AICPA AC: Measurement Analysis and Interpretation, Reporting, AICPA PC: Communication, IMA: Reporting & Control: Financial Statement Preparation

a.

| | Product or Period? | Inventory? |
|----------------------------------|--------------------|--|
| New sewing machine (\$2,500) | Product | MOH (depreciation on sewing machine) |
| Purchased thread, etc. (\$300) | Product | MOH (indirect materials) |
| Purchased jeans (\$1,000) | Product | DM purchased |
| Paid friend (\$1,800) | Product | DL |
| Finished jackets, sold all but 1 | - | FG Inventory (Beg Bal = 0, End Bal = \$80) |
| Freight out (\$400) | Period | - |
| Advertising expense (\$300) | Period | - |
| Finished all but 1 | - | WIP Inventory (Beg Bal = 0, End Bal = \$40) |
| Counted 40 jeans | - | DM Inventory (Beg Bal = \$30, End Bal = \$120) |

b.

| DM Inventory | | | | | | |
|--------------|-------|---|---------|--|--|--|
| Beg. | 30 | | | | | |
| Purchases | 1,000 | | | | | |
| | | ? | DM used | | | |
| End. | 120 | | | | | |

\$30 + \$1,000 - DM used = \$120 \$1,030 - DM used = \$120 DM used = **\$910**

| | WIP Inventor | y | |
|---------|--------------|---|------|
| Beg. | - | | |
| DM used | 910 | | |
| DL | 1,800 | | |
| MOH | ? | | |
| | | ? | COGM |
| End. | 40 | | |

MOH = Depreciation on sewing machine + Indirect materials MOH = \$500 + \$300 = **\$800**

Problem 2.6 (Continued)

FG Inventory

| re inventory | | | | | | |
|--------------|------|-------|---|------|--|--|
| | Beg. | 400 | | | | |
| (| COGM | 3,470 | | | | |
| | | | ? | COGS | | |
| | End. | 80 | | _ | | |

$$$3,870 - COGS = $80$$

$$COGS = $3,790$$

c. Total manufacturing costs (TMC) = DM used + DL + MOH

$$TMC = $910 + $1,800 + $800 = $3,510$$

Prime costs = DM used + DL

Prime costs = \$910 + \$1,800 = **\$2,710**

Conversion costs = DL + MOH

Conversion costs = \$1,800 + \$800 = \$2,600

d. COGM was \$3,470, and COGS was \$3,790.

It is important for Dorothy to understand these costs so that she can set a selling price that will allow her to recover her cost (and possibly generate some margin). Without knowing these costs, she can't determine whether or not an already-set selling price is generating any margin or a desired margin.

LO: 3, 5, Bloom: AP, Difficulty: Moderate, Time: 15-20, AACSB: Knowledge, Communication, Analytic, AICPA BC: N/A, AICPA AC: Reporting, AICPA PC: Communication, IMA: Reporting & Control: Financial Recordkeeping

For a) and b), use what you're given and solve for what you need using T-accounts and income statements. Follow along with the explanations in boxes.

a. Becca

First, set up FG Inventory and WIP Inventory T-accounts, as well as a traditional income statement. Populate the three with as much as you can, based on what you're given.

| BECCA: FG Inventory | | | | | |
|---------------------|-----|------|--|--|--|
| (i) Beg. | | | | | |
| COGM | 450 | | | | |
| | | cogs | | | |
| End. | 145 | | | | |

(i) You're told that COGAFS is \$825, so you can use that to solve for FG Beg Bal here:

COGAFS = FG Inv Beg. Bal + COGM \$825 = FG Inv Beg. Bal + \$450 FG Inv Beg. Bal = \$825 - \$450 = **\$375**.

Revenue \$ 1,370 Less: COGS Gross margin (ii) Less: SG&A expenses 470

Operating income

\$

220

(ii) With operating income and SG&A Expenses, you can solve for gross margin:

\$220 + \$470 = **\$690**.

| BECCA: WIP Inventory | | | | | |
|----------------------|-----|-----|------|--|--|
| Beg. | 285 | | | | |
| DM used | 215 | | | | |
| (iii) DL | | | | | |
| MOH | 80 | | | | |
| | | 450 | COGM | | |

(iv) End.

(iii) Total Manufacturing Costs is \$530, so use that to solve for DL:

TMC = DM used + DL + MOH \$530 = \$215 + DL + \$80 DL = \$530 - \$215 - \$80 = **\$235**.

(iv) You now have all of the values in the WIP Inventory T-account but End Bal, so solve for that:

\$285 + \$215 + \$235 + \$80 - \$450 = \$365.

b. Sean

SEAN: FG Inventory

| SEAN: FG Inventory | | | | | | |
|--------------------|-----|-----|------|--|--|--|
| Beg. | 130 | | | | | |
| (iv) COGM | | | | | | |
| | | 370 | COGS | | | |
| (iii) End. | | | | | | |

(iv) You're told that COGAFS is \$425, so you can use that to solve for COGM here:

COGAFS = FG Inv Beg. Bal + COGM \$425 = \$130 + COGM COGM = \$425 - \$130 = **\$295**.

(iii) then solve for FG Inv. End Bal: \$130 + \$295 - \$370 = \$55.

Problem 2.7 (Continued)

| Revenue (i) | \$ |
|---------------------|-----------|
| Less: COGS | 370 |
| Gross margin | \$ 620 |
| Less: SG&A expenses | |
| Operating income | \$ 195 |

$$$620 + $370 = $990.$$

SEAN: WIP Inventory

| JEAN. WIF IIIVE | illory |
|-----------------|--------|
| Beg | |
| (ii) DM used | |
| DL 180 | |
| MOH 205 | |
| | COGM |
| End. 280 | |

(ii) You're told that Total Manufacturing Costs (DM used + DL + MOH) is \$470, so use that to solve for DM used:

TMC = DM used + DL + MOH \$470 = DM used + \$180 + \$205DM used = \$470 - \$180 - \$205 = \$85.

c. Conversion Costs = DL + MOH

BECCA

$$\frac{DL + MOH}{TMC} = \frac{\$235 + \$80}{\$530} = 59\%$$

SEAN

$$\frac{DL + MOH}{TMC} = \frac{\$180 + \$205}{\$470} = 82\%$$

Sean's scenario reflects conversion costs that exceed 80% of its manufacturing costs.

d. GM ratio = GM ÷ Sales

BECCA

$$\frac{GM}{\text{Sales}} = \frac{\$690}{\$1,370} = 50\%$$

$$\frac{\text{GM}}{\text{Sales}} = \frac{\$620}{\$990} = 63\%$$

Again, **Sean's** scenario reflects a GM% that exceeds 60%.

LO: 3, 5, 6, Bloom: AN, Difficulty: Complex, Time: 20-25, AACSB: Knowledge, Analytic, AICPA BC: N/A, AICPA AC: Measurement Analysis and Interpretation, Reporting, AICPA PC: N/A, IMA: Reporting & Control: Financial Recordkeeping

- a.
- i. Total costs = **Red line** (starts at \$2,000 [FC] and increases at a slope of the VC/unit given)
- ii. Fixed costs = **Grey line** (starts at \$2,000 and doesn't change)
- iii. Total revenue = **Blue line** (starts at \$0 and increases at a slope of the selling price/unit)
- iv. Total variable costs = **Orange line** (starts at \$0 and increases at a slope of the VC/unit given)
- Looking at the graph we can see that no profit would be generated at a sales volume of 20 units (because the total cost line is higher than the total revenue line at this volume). The company would generate a profit at a volume of 40 units and 60 units, however, as the total revenue line is higher than the total cost line at both volumes.
 If we want to determine the amount of profit or loss earned at each of the above volumes, further analysis will reveal the details.

Revenue: To find the selling price, pick a point on the blue line and divide the revenue at that point by the volume of units sold at that point: $$2,000 \div 20$$ units = \$100 per unit. Now multiply \$100 by each sales volume to find the revenue.

Variable costs: to find the variable cost per unit, pick a point on the orange line and divide the variable costs at that point by the volume of units sold at that point: $\$3,000 \div 100$ units = \$30 per unit.

We can then combine the revenue, variable cost, and fixed cost information to calculate profit or loss at each volume:

Contribution Margin Income Statement

| Contribution margin moonic statement | | | | | | |
|--|----|---------|----|---------|----|---------|
| | 2 | 0 units | 40 | 0 units | 60 | 0 units |
| Revenue (\$100 × volume of units) Less: Variable costs (\$30 × volume | \$ | 2,000 | \$ | 4,000 | \$ | 6,000 |
| of units) | | 600 | | 1,200 | | 1,800 |
| Contribution margin | \$ | 1,400 | \$ | 2,800 | \$ | 4,200 |
| Less: Fixed costs | | 2,000 | | 2,000 | | 2,000 |
| Operating income (loss) | \$ | (600) | \$ | 800 | \$ | 2,200 |
| Profit? | | No | | Yes | | Yes |

Note: Remember that fixed costs stay the same *in total* even as the volume of units changes.


- c. Total Fixed Costs = \$2,000 FC/unit = $$2,000 \div 40 = 50
- d. Total Fixed Costs = \$2,000 FC/unit = $$2,000 \div 60 = 33.33
- e. The red and orange lines are parallel; red reflects total costs while orange reflects total variable costs. The slope of the two lines is the same, which is \$30/unit, because total costs will increase at the variable rate (since fixed costs stay stable regardless of volume).

Problem 2.8 (Continued)

f.

| Contribution Margin Income Statement | | | | | |
|--|----|-------|--|--|--|
| Revenue (\$100 × 80 units) | \$ | 8,000 | | | |
| Less: Variable costs (\$30 × 80 units) | | 2,400 | | | |
| Contribution margin | \$ | 5,600 | | | |
| Less: Fixed costs | | 2,000 | | | |
| Operating income | \$ | 3,600 | | | |

The difference between the blue line (total revenue) and the red line (total costs) is profit. The orange shaded area in the graph below represents profit.



- g. The CM income statement would allow LaVonne the ability to quickly transform the graphical information into different income statement scenarios because it organizes costs by behavior (variable vs. fixed), and this graph has them organized that way, as well.
- h. Yes, presenting information graphically can be very useful for users, especially because the supervisors and managers specifically asked for her to *show* them things rather than *telling* them. This format might be easier for them to understand and remember the relationships as opposed to a traditional income statement.

LO: 4, 6, Bloom: AN, Difficulty: Moderate, Time: 15-20, AACSB: Knowledge, Communication, Analytic, Technology, AICPA BC: N/A, AICPA AC: Measurement Analysis and Interpretation, Reporting, AICPA PC: Communication, IMA: Reporting & Control: Cost Accounting

a. Yes, the intern is correct that the income statement categories are correctly identified in each income statement format.

The types of costs that are typically included in each of the following categories are described below:

- (1) COGS: product costs for the units sold, including DM used, DL, and MOH.
- (2) SG&A: selling, general, and administrative expenses such as office rent, administrative/executive salaries, and advertising expense.
- (3) Variable costs: costs that vary based on the quantity of units sold, such as DM used, DL, and sales commissions.
- (4) Fixed costs: costs that do not change regardless of the volume of units sold, such as administrative/executive salaries, advertising expense, and depreciation.
- b. Selling price = Sales \div volume of units sold = \$960,000 \div 30,000 = **\$32**

Solve for total CM, then, knowing the operating income from Format 1 is correct, use that and the total CM to solve for total fixed costs.

Total CM = CM/unit × volume of units sold Total CM = $$22 \times 30,000 = $660,000$

CM \$ 660,000

Less: Fixed costs

Operating income \$ 260,000

CM – fixed costs = operating income \$660,000 – fixed costs = \$260,000 Fixed costs = **\$400,000**

C.

Traditional Income Statement Revenue \$ 960,000 Less: COGS 522,500 Gross margin \$ 437,500 Less: SG&A * 260,000 Operating income \$ 260,000

COGS:

Variable COGS = \$8.75 × 30,000 units = \$262,500 Fixed COGS = 65% × \$400,000 = \$260,000 Total COGS = \$262,500 + \$260,000 = **\$522,500**

Problem 2.9 (Continued)

```
SG&A Expenses:
```

[The same calculations are repeated below to show that the same costs are being represented in a different order.]

Contribution Margin Income

| Statement | | | | | | |
|----------------------|---------|---------|--|--|--|--|
| Revenue | \$ | 960,000 | | | | |
| Less: Variable costs | | 300,000 | | | | |
| Contribution margin | 660,000 | | | | | |
| Less: Fixed costs | | 400,000 | | | | |
| Operating income | \$ | 260,000 | | | | |

Variable costs:

```
Variable COGS = $8.75 × 30,000 units = $262,500

Variable SG&A = $1.25 × 30,000 units = $37,500

Total variable costs = $262,500 + $37,500 = $300,000
```

Fixed costs:

```
Fixed COGS = 65% × $400,000 = $260,000

Fixed SG&A = Total fixed costs - fixed COGS

Fixed SG&A = $400,000 - $260,000 = $140,000

Total fixed costs = $260,000 + $140,000 = $400,000
```

d. It's important to have this information formatted in a traditional income statement for the benefit of external users such as creditors, and also for comparison purposes when benchmarking performance. The subtotal of gross margin is useful when evaluating the margin earned just on the products sold, before considering other operating expenses.

It's very useful to have a contribution margin format income statement for internal use related to decision-making, resource management, pricing, and risk evaluation (i.e. breakeven, CVP analysis, etc.). The subtotal of contribution margin is useful when evaluating the margin earned after recognizing the variable costs associated with the products sold, before considering any fixed expenses.

LO: 4, 6, Bloom: E, Difficulty: Complex, Time: 20-25, AACSB: Knowledge, Communication, Analytic, AICPA BC: N/A, AICPA AC: Measurement Analysis and Interpretation, Reporting, AICPA PC: Communication, IMA: Reporting & Control: Financial Statement Preparation

Use the information you're given and work through the T-accounts.

DM Inventory

| Pı | Beg. urchase | 500 | | | |
|-----|-----------------|---------|---------|---------|---------|
| . , | S | | | DM used | |
| | End. | 1,200 | | | |
| | | WIP Inv | ventory | | |
| | Beg. | 2,100 | | | |
| D | M used | | | | |
| | DL | | | | |
| | MOH | | | COGM | |
| | End. | 4,300 | | | |
| | | | | FG Inv | ventory |
| | | Bea. | 8.700 | | |

| | Beg. COGM | 8,700 | |
|------|--------------|-------|-----------|
| | | | COGS COGS |
| End. | 12,500 | | |

| COGS | | | | |
|---------|--|--|--|--|
| 217,000 | | | | |
| Fnd | | | | |

COGS = **\$217,000** (given) a.

> Use the FG Inventory account to solve for COGM: Beg FG Inventory + COGM - COGS = End FG Inventory \$8,700 + COGM - \$217,000 = \$12,500 COGM = **\$220,800**

> > **DM Inventory**

| Beg. | 500 | | | |
|-----------|-------|---------|--------------|---|
| Purchases | | | DM used | |
| End. | 1,200 | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | WIP Inventor | У |
| Beg. | 2,100 | | | |
| DM used | | | | |
| DL MOH | | | | |
| IVION | | 220,800 | COGM | |
| End. | 4,300 | | | |

Problem 2.10 (Continued)

COGS 217,000 End.

b. Total manufacturing costs = DM used + DL + MOH

Calculate DL from what was given: $$88,000 \times 0.5 = $44,000$

Calculate MOH from what was given:

Manufacturing supervisor salaries ($\$88,000 \times 0.5$) + manufacturing utilities ($\$4,000 \times 0.75$) + manufacturing depreciation (\$19,000) + indirect materials and indirect labor (\$3,000) = \$69,000

Solve for DM used:

Beg WIP Inventory + DM used + DL + MOH – COGM = End WIP Inventory \$2,100 + DM used + \$44,000 + \$69,000 - \$220,800 = \$4,300

DM used = \$110,000

Total manufacturing costs = \$110,000 + \$44,000 + \$69,000 = \$223,000

c. We've already solved for these in part (b).

DM used = \$110,000

DL = **\$44,000**

MOH = \$69,000

DM Inventory

| Beg. | 500 | | |
|-----------|-------|---------|---------|
| Purchases | | 440.000 | DM |
| | | 110,000 | DM used |
| End. | 1,200 | | |

| WIP Inventory | | | | | |
|---------------|---------|---------|------|--|--|
| Beg. | 2,100 | | | | |
| DM used | 110,000 | | | | |
| DL | 44,000 | | | | |
| MOH | 69,000 | | | | |
| | | 220,800 | COGM | | |
| End. | 4,300 | | | | |

FG Inventory

| | | | , | | |
|------|--------|---------|---------|------|--|
| | Beg. | 8,700 | | | |
| (| собй | 220,800 | | | |
| | | | 217,000 | COGS | |
| End. | 12,500 | | | | |

Problem 2.10 (Continued)

| COGS | | | | | |
|---------|--|--|--|--|--|
| 217,000 | | | | | |
| End. | | | | | |

d. Beg DM Inventory + DM Purchased - DM used = End DM Inventory \$500 + DM Purchased - \$110,000 = \$1,200 DM Purchased = **\$110,700**

| | | | DM Inventor | y | | |
|----------|---------|---------|--------------|---------|------|--|
| Beg. | 500 | | | | | |
| Purchase | 110,700 | | | | | |
| S | | 110,000 | DM used | _ | | |
| End. | 1,200 | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | WIP Inv | entory | | _ | | |
| Beg. | 2,100 | | | | | |
| DM used | 110,000 | | | | | |
| DL | 44,000 | | | | | |
| MOH | 69,000 | | | | | |
| | | 220,800 | COGM | | | |
| End. | 4,300 | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | FG Inventory | y | | |
| | Beg. | | 8,700 | | | |
| | COGM | | 220,800 | | | |
| | | | | 217,000 | COGS | |
| End. | 12,500 | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | СО | GS | | - | | |
| | 217 000 | l | | | | |

e. Italicized amounts are what we solved for here.

End.

| DM Inventory | | | | | | |
|--------------|---------|---------|--------------|---------|------|--|
| Beg. | 500 | | | | | |
| Purchase | 110,700 | | | | | |
| s | | 110,000 | DM used | | | |
| End. | 1,200 | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | V | VIP Inventor | у | | |
| | | Beg. | 2,100 | | | |
| | | DM used | 110,000 | | | |
| | | DL | 44,000 | | | |
| | | МОН | 69,000 | | | |
| | | | | 220,800 | COGM | |
| End. | 4,300 | | | | | |

Problem 2.10 (Continued)

| FG Inventory | | | | | | |
|--------------|---------|---------|------|--|--|--|
| Beg. | 8,700 | | | | | |
| COGM | 220,800 | | | | | |
| | | 217,000 | COGS | | | |
| End. | 12,500 | | | | | |
| | СО | GS | | | | |
| | 217,000 | | | | | |
| End. | | | | | | |

LO: 5, Bloom: AN, Difficulty: Complex, Time: 20-25, AACSB: Knowledge, Analytic, AICPA BC: N/A, AICPA AC: Reporting, AICPA PC: Communication, IMA: Reporting & Control: Cost Accounting

Solution to Analysis and Decision-Making Case

Case

a. Yes, they both make excellent points—information from both a traditional and a contribution margin income statement format is very important and useful for different types of users.

Gross margin on a traditional income statement is a very common and important measure of performance.

Contribution margin on a contribution margin income statement is also a very important measure of performance.

b.

GM: 1. For external users

- 2. Allows a company to be compared to others in the industry
- 3. A traditional income statement with GM as its key subtotal reflects costs that have been separated by function (product vs. period) to reveal the margin specifically earned on the products sold.

CM: 1. For internal users

- 2. Helps with day-to-day decision-making
- 3. A contribution margin income statement with CM as its key subtotal reflects costs that have been separate by behavior (variable vs. fixed) to reveal the margin specifically earned after variable costs are considered.
- c. No; just because a company's CM percentage is higher than its GM percentage does not mean that CM is a superior measure.

In terms of gross margin: it means that the company's margin after covering product costs is 35%, recognizing that the remaining 65% of the revenue will be used to cover the company's non-product (operating expenses), hopefully with some left over to reflect a profit.

In terms of contribution margin, it means that the company's margin after covering variable costs is 45%, recognizing that the remaining 55% of the revenue will be used to cover the company's fixed costs, hopefully with some left over to reflect a profit.

Without additional information on what the company's profit margin is or what its operating expenses or fixed costs are as a percentage of revenue, we cannot determine whether its cost structure consists of predominantly fixed or variable costs.

d. The company should explain to the two managers the benefits of both income statement formats and both key subtotals. Further, company leadership should recognize its full intention to utilize both income statements and key subtotals for their key advantages. If both managers can see that they were right—that their favored subtotal is in fact a crucial measure for the business—it could be a win-win situation. Both managers could be ambassadors for improving the culture of the company, pulling other managers in and helping them better understand the financial situation of the company. If all managers become more financially literate, just imagine where their ideas could take them!

LO: 1, 4, 6, Bloom: AN, Difficulty: Moderate, Time: 10-15, AACSB: Analytic, Communication, AICPA BC: N/A, AICPA AC: Measurement Analysis and Interpretation, Reporting, AICPA PC: Communication, IMA: Reporting & Control: Cost Accounting