## https://selldocx.com/products/test-bank-engaging-bioethics-an-introduction-with-case-studies-1e-seay

- 13. The Human Genome Project produced ...
  - \*A. Genetic knowledge
  - B. Genetic pseudo-knowledge
  - C. Genetic determinism
  - D. None of the above
- 14. Given nondirective genetic counseling, it is morally forbidden for genetic counselors ...
  - A. To make attempts at persuading clients at risk of genetic disorders to undergo genetic testing
  - B. To suggest to clients at risk of genetic disorders that they undergoing genetic testing
  - \*C. To pressure clients at risk of genetic disorders to undergo genetic testing
  - D. All of the above
- 15. According to a Kantian argument against the right to genetic ignorance ...
  - A. Genetic ignorance can lead to bad consequences for others
  - \*B. Genetic ignorance is incompatible with patient autonomy
  - C. Genetic ignorance interferes with population studies
  - D. All of the above

## Chapter 5: Consent with Competence and Without

- 1. One of the requirements of informed consent is ...
  - A. Information
  - B. Voluntariness
  - C. The patient's competence
  - \*D. All of the above
- 2. Informed consent's voluntariness requirement is compatible with ...
  - A. Coercion, understood as undue influence on the patient
  - B. Under-disclosing information relevant to the patient's decision
  - C. Deceiving or misleading the patient about her medical condition

- \*D None of the above
- 3. In Canterbury v Spence, the court decided that ...
  - A. Mr. Canterbury gave informed consent to Dr. Spence's intervention
  - B. Dr. Spence pressured Mr. Canterbury to obtain his consent to the intervention
  - \*C. Dr. Spence provided Mr. Canterbury with insufficient information about the intervention's risk
  - D. None of the above
- 4. In Storar and Eichner, the New Jersey court decided that ...
  - A. Withdrawing life-prolonging treatment was justified in *Storar* but not in *Eichner*
  - \*B. Withdrawing life-prolonging treatment was justified in *Eichner* but not in *Storar*
  - C. Withdrawing life-prolonging treatment was justified in both *Storar* and *Eichner*
  - D. None of the above
- 5. A medical team is presented with a POLST by a previously competent patient refusing a life-prolonging intervention that the team considers medically indicated. What should they do? They should ...
  - A.\* Follow the POLST
  - B. Do what the patient's surrogate thinks should be done
  - C. Seek a court order overriding the POLST
  - D. All of the above
- 6. In the US, the prevailing decisionmaking standard for previously competent patients with no advance directive on file recommends prioritizing ...
  - \*A. A surrogate's determination of what the patient would have wanted
  - B. What is medically indicated
  - C. What is in the patient's best interests, as determined in court
  - D. All of the above
- 7. The expression "therapeutic privilege" refers to ...